4 January 1965

MAKKARUM FOR: Deputy Director, GRR

THROUGH: Special Assistant, CRR

FROM: Chief, Policies and Organisations Branch

SUBJECT: Report of Responses to Biveskly Quentionnaire

In fulfillment of our dire predictions of the small number of returns we would be likely to receive, only about one-quarter (127) of the questionnaires inserted in 462 copies of the Biweekly were completed and returned to the EKC Secretarist. (In a last minute flush of optimism we had included 2 extra forms in each Riweekly which appear to have been largely unused.) Among the recipients of the largest number of Biweekly copies, the Department of State returned 36 percent of its questionnaires; the Department of Defense, 22 percent; and the Agency for International Development, only 15 percent -- probably all from Mr. Forrest himself, I'll wager: We made no serious effort to solicit returns from CIA, the issuing agency.

On the assumption -- a reasonable one I would think -- that the 127 completed returns represent a hard core of our most interested customers, the exercise may be regarded as an instructive one. Although some of the returned questionnaires were incomplete, the returns do suggest the following consensus.

- 80 suggest that the publication remain Biveckly
- 90 regard the background and details provided by the current articles as estisfactory
- 73 expressly do not want longer, more analytical pieces
- Only 21 use the Biveekly as a source of ourrent information: the others use them as a supplementary source or for general background and reference
- 66 characterise the articles as "very" or "moderately" useful in formulating policy; 38 as of little use

Our most prominent respondent was Mr. Harold F. Linder, President, Re-In Benk, who reported that "These Biweekly reports are the only regularly received source of information which we have as to 'Bloc' lending activities in countries bogwowing from the Er-In Benk. . . We trust that your reports will be continued."

In sum, the Biveckly, as presently constituted, seems to be meeting the needs of its recipients, and the Vorking Group recommends no fundamental revisions in our approach to the publication. There were, however, some suggestions of an editorial nature which seem well worth considering. It was suggested, for example, that we could effectively combine the Summery of Events with the Table of Contents by inserting the relevant page mumber after the brief summery of the article in the following manner.

I small take this and other similar suggestions up with St/PB at the earliest apportunity.

25X1A9a

Distribution:
Original and 1 - Addressee
1 - SA/RR
1 - D/T
2 - T/PO
ORR/D/T/PO/ : chf/7101 (4 Jan 65)
25X1A9a